

The Exact Solution of 1-D $SU(n)$ Hubbard Model

Boyu Hou^{1 *} Dantao Peng^{1 †} Ruihong Yue^{a1,2 ‡}

^aCCAST (World Laboratory), P.O.Box 8730,
Beijing, 100080, P.R.China

¹Institute of Modern Physics Northwest University
Xi'An, 710069, P.R.China

²Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Science
Beijing, 100080, P.R.China

()

In this paper we derive out the exact solution of the $SU(n)$ Hubbard model through the coordinate and the algebraic Bethe ansatz methods. The energy spectrum and the Bethe ansatz equations are obtained. Furthermore, we analyse the ground state and give out the exact analytic solution of the model.

PACS: 05.20.-y; 05.50.+q; 04.20.Jb; 03.65.Fd

Keywords: coordinate Bethe ansatz, Lattice models

I. INTRODUCTION

The 1-D Hubbard model is one of the significant models in the study of the strongly correlated electron systems which might reveal an enlightening role in understanding the mysteries of the high- T_c superconductivity. It also favours a lot of properties of integrable models in non-perturbative quantum field theory and mathematical physics. Its exact solution was first given by Lieb and Wu [1]. Based on the Bethe ansatz equations (Lieb-Wu's equations), the 1-D Hubbard model was extensively discussed in Refs. [2–14]. Although there are lots of works on Hubbard model, the integrability was finished until 1986 by Shastry [15], Olmedilla and Wadati [16] in both boson and fermion grade versions. Moreover, the eigenvalue of the transfer matrix related to the Hubbard model was suggested in Ref. [15] and proved through different method in Ref. [17,18]. Besides, the integrability and the exact solution of the 1-D Hubbard model with open boundary condition have been investigated by several authors. [19–21].

Recently, based on the Lie algebra knowledge, Maassarani and Mathieu constructed the Hamiltonian of the $SU(n)$ XX model and showed its integrability [22]. Considering two coupled $SU(n)$ XX models, Maassarani succeeded in generalizing Shastry's method to construct the $SU(n)$ Hubbard model [23]. Furthermore, he found the related R -matrix which ensures the integrability of the one-dimensional $SU(n)$ Hubbard model [24]. (It was also proved by Martins for $n = 3, 4$. [25], and by Yue and Sasaki [26] for general n in terms of Lax-pair formalism.) The exact solution of the $SU(3)$ Hubbard model was also given in Ref. [27]. However, the eigenvalue and the eigenvectors of the $SU(n)$ Hubbard model have not been given yet.

In this paper, we apply the same method as done in Ref. [27] to find the exact solution of the 1-D $SU(n)$ Hubbard model. After recalling some basic notations,

we write down the wave functions and derive out the 2-particle scattering matrix which governs the amplitude of the wave functions in section 2. In section 3 with the help of the Yang-Baxter relation we employ the algebraic Bethe ansatz method to discuss the amplitude of wave functions and the Bethe ansatz equations. The exact solution of the $SU(n)$ Hubbard model was then given out. Under the thermodynamical limit, the explicitly analytic form of the ground state energy is given in section 4. In section 5, we make some conclusions and list some questions to be considered.

II. THE COORDINATE BETHE ANSATZ

The Hamiltonian of the $SU(n)$ Hubbard model is

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^L \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} (E_{\sigma,k}^{n\alpha} E_{\sigma,k+1}^{\alpha n} + E_{\sigma,k}^{\alpha n} E_{\sigma,k+1}^{n\alpha} + (\sigma \rightarrow \tau)) + \frac{Un^2}{4} \sum_{k=1}^L C_{\sigma,k} C_{\tau,k}, \quad (1)$$

where U is the coulomb coupling constant, and $E_{a,k}^{\alpha\beta}$ ($a = \sigma, \tau$) is a matrix with zeros everywhere except for an one at the intersection of row α and column β :

$$(E^{\alpha\beta})_{lm} = \delta_l^\alpha \delta_m^\beta, \quad (2)$$

The subscripts a and k stand for two different E operators at site k ($k = 1, \dots, L$). The $n \times n$ diagonal matrix C is defined by $C = \sum_{\alpha < n} E^{\alpha\alpha} - E^{nn}$. We have also assumed the periodic boundary condition $E_{k+L}^{\alpha\beta} = E_k^{\alpha\beta}$.

It was shown that the Hamiltonian (1) has a $(su(n-1) \oplus u(1))_\sigma \oplus (su(n-1) \oplus u(1))_\tau$ symmetry. The generators are

$$J_a^{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{k=1}^n E_{a,k}^{\alpha\beta} \quad (3)$$

and

$$K_a = \sum_{k=1}^L C_{a,k}, \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad a = \sigma, \tau. \quad (4)$$

It is worthy to point out that the system enjoys the $SO(4)$ symmetry when $n = 2$ [28]. But the generator are different from Eq. (3).

Before proceeding the coordinate Bethe ansatz approach, we first begin by introduce some notations as in Ref. [27]. In $SU(n)$ Hubbard model, there are two types of particles named σ and τ , and each particle can occupy $(n-1)$ possible states. The same type of particles cannot appear in one site, but two different types of particles can occupy one same site. We denote $|n\rangle_j$ the vacuum state of j -th site, $|1\rangle_j, \dots, |n-1\rangle_j$ the particle states of j -th site respectively. Under the appropriate basis

$$|1\rangle_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_j, |2\rangle_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_j, \dots, |n\rangle_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_j, \quad (5)$$

we can prove that $E_j^{\alpha n}$ and $E_j^{n\alpha}$ act as a creating and a annihilating operators of $|\alpha\rangle_j$ state particle respectively.

Define the particle number operators of the particles labeled with (s, α) as:

$$\hat{N}_s^\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^L E_{s,k}^{\alpha n} E_{s,k}^{n\alpha} = \sum_{k=1}^L E_{s,k}^{\alpha\alpha}, \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, s = \sigma, \tau)$$

we can easily prove that these $2(n-1)$ particle number operators commute with the Hamiltonian (1):

$$[\hat{N}_s^\alpha, H] = 0, \quad (6)$$

and commute with each other. So such particle numbers are conserved.

Our main purpose is to give out the eigenvalues and the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian (1). In this section, we first give out the two particle scattering matrix of the system.

In the coordinate Bethe ansatz method, the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian can be assumed as

$$|\psi_{N_0}\rangle = \sum_{x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{N_0}=1}^L f_{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{N_0}}^{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{N_0}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N_0}) \times E_{\sigma_1 x_1}^{\alpha_1 n} E_{\sigma_2 x_2}^{\alpha_2 n} \dots E_{\sigma_{N_0} x_{N_0}}^{\alpha_{N_0} n} |0\rangle, \quad (7)$$

here $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum state of the total chain and $|0\rangle = \prod_{j=1}^L \otimes |n\rangle_j$ and

$$f = \sum_{P, Q} \epsilon_P \epsilon_Q A_{\sigma_{Q_1} \sigma_{Q_2} \dots \sigma_{Q_{N_0}}}^{\alpha_{Q_1} \alpha_{Q_2} \dots \alpha_{Q_{N_0}}}(k_{P_{Q_1}}, k_{P_{Q_2}}, \dots, k_{P_{Q_{N_0}}}) \times \theta(x_{Q_1} \leq x_{Q_2} \leq \dots \leq x_{Q_{N_0}}) \exp(i \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} k_{P_j} x_{Q_j}), \quad (8)$$

with

$$\theta(x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{N_0}) = \begin{cases} 1, & x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{N_0}, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & x_i = x_j, \\ 0, & \text{others.} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Here $\alpha_i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N_0$) stands for the different particle states, x_i the position of the particle, $\sigma_i = \sigma, \tau$ the type of i th particle. The summation P and Q are taken over all permutations of N_0 momenta k_j and N_0 coordinates x_j respectively. The symbols ϵ_P and ϵ_Q are the parities of two kinds of permutations. Substituting the wave function into the Schrödinger equation

$$H|\psi_{N_0}\rangle = E|\psi_{N_0}\rangle, \quad (10)$$

we can get

$$A_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j, \dots}(\dots, k_i, k_j, \dots) = S_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j}(\sin k_i, \sin k_j) A_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j, \dots}(\dots, k_j, k_i, \dots). \quad (11)$$

with $S_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j}(\sin k_i, \sin k_j)$ being the two-particle scattering matrix:

$$S_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j}(\sin k_i, \sin k_j) = \frac{\sin k_i - \sin k_j + i\gamma P_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j}}{\sin k_i - \sin k_j + i\gamma} \quad (12)$$

where $\gamma = \frac{n^2 U}{2}$, $P_{\sigma_i \sigma_j}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j}$ is the direct product of two kinds of permutation operators which permutes the particle styles and particle states simultaneously. The energy of the Hamiltonian on this wave function is

$$E = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \cos k_i + \frac{\gamma}{2} (L - 2N_0). \quad (13)$$

It is worthy to point out that the ansatz (7) is not complete [29], which can be observed from a simple example. The state $|0\rangle$ and the states without any $|n\rangle_j$ are all the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian (1) with the same eigenenergy $E_0 = \frac{\gamma}{2} L = \frac{n^2}{4} U L$, so for this eigenvalue, the degeneracy of the eigenstate is $(n-1)^{2L} + 1$.

For the convenience, we denote by ζ_j the amplitude $A_{\sigma_j \sigma_l}^{\alpha_j \alpha_l, \dots}(\dots, k_j, k_l, \dots)$. When the j -th particle moves across the else particle, it gets an S -matrix $S_{jl}(q_j, q_l)$. Here $q_j = \sin k_j$. The periodic boundary condition leads the following constrains on the amplitude ζ_j

$$S_{jj+1} S_{jj+2} \dots S_{jN_0} S_{j1} S_{j2} \dots S_{jj-1} \zeta_j = e^{ik_j L} \zeta_j. \quad (14)$$

Eq.(14) is similar with the Yang's eigenvalue problem [30]. Its solution will give out the Bethe ansatz equations and the amplitude.

III. THE EIGENVALUE AND THE EIGENSTATES

In the above section, we have obtained the scattering matrix. It can be proved that it satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation [30]

$$S_{jl}(q_j, q_l)S_{jk}(q_j, q_k)S_{lk}(q_l, q_k) = S_{lk}(q_l, q_k)S_{jk}(q_j, q_k)S_{jl}(q_j, q_l). \quad (15)$$

Define the monodromy matrix as

$$T_\tau(q) = S_{\tau 1}(q, q_1)S_{\tau 2}(q, q_2) \cdots S_{\tau N_0}(q, q_{N_0}), \quad (16)$$

here τ is the auxiliary space. We can easily prove that the monodromy matrix satisfies the Yang-Baxter relation

$$S_{\tau\tau'}(q, q')T_\tau(q)T_{\tau'}(q') = T_{\tau'}(q')T_\tau(q)S_{\tau\tau'}(q, q'). \quad (17)$$

So the eigenvalue problem (14) is equivalent to finding the solution of following problem:

$$tr_\tau T_\tau(q_j)\zeta = X_j\zeta = \epsilon(q_j)\zeta. \quad (18)$$

Here $\epsilon(q_j)$ and ζ are the eigenvalue and the eigenstate respectively of the transfer matrix X_j which is the matrix trace of the monodromy matrix.

A. The fundamental commutation rules

From the point of view of a vertex model, we can interpret the matrix $S_{\tau j}$ as the vertex operator, the matrix $S_{\tau\tau'}$ as the R -matrix. So the R -matrix is an $(2(n-1))^2 \times (2(n-1))^2$ matrix

$$R_{jl}(q_j, q_l) = \alpha_2(q_j, q_l) + \alpha_3(q_j, q_l)P_{jl} \quad (19)$$

where

$$\alpha_2(q_j, q_l) = \frac{q_j - q_l}{q_j - q_l + i\gamma}, \quad \alpha_3(q_j, q_l) = \frac{i\gamma}{q_j - q_l + i\gamma}, \quad (20)$$

and P_{jl} is a $(2(n-1))^2 \times (2(n-1))^2$ dimensional permutation operator.

The vertex operator is

$$\mathcal{L}_j(q - q_j) = S_{\tau j}(q, q_j) = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{2(n-1)} E^{\alpha\beta} \otimes E_j^{\beta\alpha}, \quad (21)$$

where $E^{\alpha\beta}$ is a $2(n-1) \times 2(n-1)$ matrix with 0 everywhere except for an 1 at the intersection of row α and column β and $E_j^{\alpha\beta}$ is an operator acting on the j -th space.

The local reference state can be defined as:

$$|0\rangle_j^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{S_j}^{(1)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{T_j}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{j \ 2(n-1) \times 1}^{(1)},$$

where S_j and T_j are the j -th particle style space and particle state space respectively.

The action of L -operator on this state has the following property:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\tau j}(q)|0\rangle_j^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_3 E_j^{21} & \alpha_3 E_j^{31} & \cdots & \alpha_3 E_j^{2(n-1)1} \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \times |0\rangle_j^{(1)}, \quad (22)$$

here $\alpha_1(q, q_j) = \alpha_2(q, q_j) + \alpha_3(q, q_j) = 1$.

The global reference state $|0\rangle^{(1)}$ is then defined by the tensor product of local reference states, i.e. $|0\rangle^{(1)} = \prod_{j=1}^L |0\rangle_j^{(1)}$. (Here we use the superscript (1) to distinguish $|0\rangle^{(1)}$ with $|0\rangle$ appeared in the above section). The property of the L -operator suggests the representation of the monodromy matrix to take the following form

$$T_\tau(q) = \begin{pmatrix} B(q) & \mathbf{B}(q) \\ \mathbf{C}(q) & \mathbf{A}(q) \end{pmatrix}_{2(n-1) \times 2(n-1)} \quad (23)$$

where $\mathbf{B}(q)$ and $\mathbf{C}(q)$ are vectors with dimensions $1 \times (2n-3)$ and $(2n-3) \times 1$ respectively. The operator $\mathbf{A}(q)$ is a $(2n-3) \times (2n-3)$ matrix and we denote its elements by $A_{ab}(q)$. The remaining operator $B(q)$ is a scalar.

In the framework of the above partition the eigenvalue problem for the transfer matrix (18) becomes

$$[B(q) + \sum_{a=1}^{2n-3} A_{aa}(q)]|\Phi\rangle = \Lambda(q)|\Phi\rangle. \quad (24)$$

where $\Lambda(q)$ and $|\Phi\rangle$ correspond to the eigenvalue and the eigenstate respectively. From Eq. (22), we know the action of the monodromy matrix on the reference state $|0\rangle^{(1)}$:

$$B(q)|0\rangle^{(1)} = |0\rangle^{(1)}, \quad \mathbf{C}(q)|0\rangle^{(1)} = 0, \\ A_{ab}(q)|0\rangle^{(1)} = \delta_{ab} \prod_{j=1}^{N_0} \alpha_2(q, q_j)|0\rangle^{(1)}, \quad (25)$$

where $a, b = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-3$. The operator $\mathbf{B}(q)$ plays the role of creation operators over the reference state $|0\rangle^{(1)}$.

To make further progress we have to recast the Yang-Baxter algebra in the form of commutation relations for the elements of the monodromy matrix. We shall start our discussion by the commutation rule between the operators $\mathbf{B}(q)$ and $\mathbf{B}(p)$:

$$\mathbf{B}(q) \otimes \mathbf{B}(p) = [\mathbf{B}(p) \otimes \mathbf{B}(q)] \cdot \hat{r}^{(1)}(q, p). \quad (26)$$

where $\hat{r}^{(1)}(q, p)$ is an auxiliary $(2n-3)^2 \times (2n-3)^2$ matrix given by

$$\hat{r}_{12}^{(1)}(q, p) = \alpha_3(q, p) + \alpha_2(q, p)P_{12}^{(1)} \quad (27)$$

where $P_{12}^{(1)}$ is an $(2n-3)^2 \times (2n-3)^2$ dimensional permutation operator.

The commutation relations between the diagonal and creation operator $\mathbf{B}(q)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}(q) \otimes \mathbf{B}(p) &= \frac{\alpha_1(q, p)}{\alpha_2(q, p)} [\mathbf{B}(p) \otimes \mathbf{A}(q)] \cdot \hat{r}^{(1)}(q, p) \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_3(q, p)}{\alpha_2(q, p)} \mathbf{B}(q) \otimes \mathbf{A}(p), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$B(q)\mathbf{B}(p) = \frac{\alpha_1(p, q)}{\alpha_2(p, q)} \mathbf{B}(p)B(q) - \frac{\alpha_3(p, q)}{\alpha_2(p, q)} \mathbf{B}(q)B(p). \quad (29)$$

Now we have set up the basic tools to construct the eigenstates of the eigenvalue problem (24). In the next section we will show how this problem can be solved with the help of the commutations rules (26), (27), (28)-(29).

B. The nested Bethe ansatz

The purpose of this subsection is to solve the eigenvalue problem of the transfer matrix (24).

First, we construct the eigenstates of the transfer matrix. The eigenstates of the transfer matrix are in principle built up in terms of a linear combination of the products of many creation operators acting on the reference state, which are characterized by a set of rapidities parameterizing the creation operators. We define the arbitrary N_1 -particle eigenstate as:

$$|\Phi_{N_1}(\{p_l^{(1)}\})\rangle = \Phi_{N_1}(p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \dots, p_{N_1}^{(1)}) \cdot \mathcal{F}|0\rangle^{(1)} \quad (30)$$

where the mathematical structure of the eigenvector $\Phi_{N_1}(p_1^{(1)}, p_2^{(1)}, \dots, p_{N_1}^{(1)})$ will be described in terms of the creation operators. We denote the components of vector $\mathcal{F}$ by $\mathcal{F}^{a_1 \dots a_{N_1}}$ which will be determined later on, where the index a_i runs over $(2n-3)$ possible values $a_i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-3$.

We construct the eigenvectors $\Phi_{N_1}(p_1^{(1)}, \dots, p_{N_1}^{(1)})$ as

$$\Phi_{N_1}(p_1^{(1)}, \dots, p_{N_1}^{(1)}) = \mathbf{B}(p_1^{(1)}) \otimes \Phi_{N_1-1}(p_2^{(1)}, \dots, p_{N_1}^{(1)}), \quad (31)$$

here we have formally identified Φ_0 with the unity vector. This vector has the following symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{N_1}(p_1^{(1)}, \dots, p_{j-1}^{(1)}, p_j^{(1)}, \dots, p_{N_1}^{(1)}) &= \\ \Phi_{N_1}(p_1^{(1)}, \dots, p_j^{(1)}, p_{j-1}^{(1)}, \dots, p_{N_1}^{(1)}) \cdot \hat{r}_{j-1j}^{(1)}(p_{j-1}^{(1)}, p_j^{(1)}), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where the subscripts in $\hat{r}_{j-1j}^{(1)}(p_{j-1}^{(1)}, p_j^{(1)})$ emphasize the positions in the N_1 -particle space $V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_{j-1} \otimes V_j \otimes \dots \otimes V_{N_1}$ on which this matrix acts non-trivially. Here we have already assumed that the (N_1-1) -particle state was already symmetrized.

Applying the diagonal elements of monodromy matrix on this eigenstate, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(q)|\Phi_{N_1}(\{p_l^{(1)}\})\rangle &= \prod_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_1(p_i^{(1)}, q)}{\alpha_2(p_i^{(1)}, q)} |\Phi_{N_1}(\{p_l^{(1)}\})\rangle \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_3(p_i^{(1)}, q)}{\alpha_2(p_i^{(1)}, q)} \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_1(p_k^{(1)}, p_i^{(1)})}{\alpha_2(p_k^{(1)}, p_i^{(1)})} \\ &\quad \times |\Psi_{N_1-1}^{(1)}(q, p_i^{(1)}; \{p_l^{(1)}\})\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=1}^{2n-3} A_{aa}(q)|\Phi_{N_1}(\{p_l^{(1)}\})\rangle &= \prod_{j=1}^{N_0} \alpha_2(q, q_j) \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_1(q, p_i^{(1)})}{\alpha_2(q, p_i^{(1)})} \Lambda^{(1)}(q, \{p_l^{(1)}\}) |\Phi_{N_1}(\{p_l^{(1)}\})\rangle \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_3(q, p_i^{(1)})}{\alpha_2(q, p_i^{(1)})} \prod_{j=1}^{N_0} \alpha_2(p_i^{(1)}, q_j) \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_1(p_i^{(1)}, p_k^{(1)})}{\alpha_2(p_i^{(1)}, p_k^{(1)})} \\ &\times \Lambda^{(1)}(p_i^{(1)}, \{p_l^{(1)}\}) |\Psi_{N_1-1}^{(1)}(q, p_i^{(1)}, \{p_l^{(1)}\})\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_{N_1-1}^{(1)}(q, p_i^{(1)}, \{p_l^{(1)}\})\rangle &= \\ \mathbf{B}(q) \otimes \Phi_{N_1-1}(p_1^{(1)}, \dots, \check{p}_i^{(1)}, \dots, p_{N_1}^{(1)}) \\ &\times \hat{O}_i^{(1)}(p_i^{(1)}, \{p_l^{(1)}\}) \cdot \mathcal{F}|0\rangle^{(1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and

$$\hat{O}_i^{(1)}(p_i^{(1)}, \{p_k^{(1)}\}) = \prod_{k=1}^{i-1} \hat{r}_{k, k+1}^{(1)}(p_k^{(1)}, p_i^{(1)}). \quad (36)$$

The symbol $\check{p}_i^{(1)}$ means that the rapidity $p_i^{(1)}$ is absent from the set $\{p_1^{(1)}, \dots, p_{N_1}^{(1)}\}$.

The terms proportional to the N_1 -particle eigenstate $|\Phi_{N_1}(\{p_l^{(1)}\})\rangle$ are denominated the wanted terms because they contribute directly to the eigenvalue. From Eqs. (33)-(36), we can directly get the eigenvalue of the N_1 -particle state

$$\Lambda(q, \{p_l^{(1)}\}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_1(p_i^{(1)}, q)}{\alpha_2(p_i^{(1)}, q)} + \prod_{j=1}^{N_0} \alpha_2(q, q_j) \prod_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_1(q, p_i^{(1)})}{\alpha_2(q, p_i^{(1)})} \Lambda^{(1)}(q, \{p_l^{(1)}\}). \quad (37)$$

The remaining ones are called unwanted terms and can be eliminated by imposing further restrictions which are known as the Bethe ansatz equations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N_0} \frac{\alpha_1(p_i^{(1)}, q_j)}{\alpha_2(p_i^{(1)}, q_j)} = \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_2(p_k^{(1)}, p_i^{(1)})}{\alpha_2(p_i^{(1)}, p_k^{(1)})} \Lambda^{(1)}(p_i^{(1)}, \{p_l^{(1)}\}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N_1. \quad (38)$$

In fact, the undetermined eigenvalue $\Lambda^{(1)}(q, \{p_l^{(1)}\})$ must satisfy the following auxiliary problem

$$T^{(1)}(q, \{p_l^{(1)}\})_{a_1 \dots a_{N_1}}^{b_1 \dots b_{N_1}} \mathcal{F}^{b_1 \dots b_{N_1}} = \Lambda^{(1)}(q, \{p_l^{(1)}\}) \mathcal{F}^{a_1 \dots a_{N_1}}, \quad (39)$$

where the inhomogeneous transfer matrix $T^{(1)}(q, \{p_l^{(1)}\})$ is

$$T^{(1)}(q, \{p_l^{(1)}\})_{a_1 \dots a_{N_1}}^{b_1 \dots b_{N_1}} = \hat{r}^{(1)}(q, p_1^{(1)})_{a_1 d_1}^{c_1 b_1} \times \hat{r}^{(1)}(q, p_2^{(1)})_{a_2 d_2}^{d_1 b_2} \dots \hat{r}^{(1)}(q, p_{N_1}^{(1)})_{a_{N_1} c_1}^{d_{N_1-1} b_{N_1}}. \quad (40)$$

This auxiliary eigenvalue problem has the same structure as the eigenvalue problem of the transfer matrix, so we can write the solution of this auxiliary eigenvalue problem from the foregoing procedure directly.

The eigenvalue of this auxiliary eigenvalue problem is

$$\Lambda^{(1)}(q, \{p_l^{(1)}\}, \{p_k^{(2)}\}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_2} \frac{\alpha_1(p_i^{(2)}, q)}{\alpha_2(p_i^{(2)}, q)} + \prod_{j=1}^{N_1} \alpha_2(q, p_j^{(1)}) \prod_{i=1}^{N_2} \frac{\alpha_1(q, p_i^{(2)})}{\alpha_2(q, p_i^{(2)})} \Lambda^{(2)}(q, \{p_l^{(2)}\}). \quad (41)$$

and the parameters $\{p_i^{(2)}\}$ satisfy the following Bethe ansatz equations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_1(p_i^{(2)}, p_j^{(1)})}{\alpha_2(p_i^{(2)}, p_j^{(1)})} = \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{N_2} \frac{\alpha_2(p_k^{(2)}, p_i^{(2)})}{\alpha_2(p_i^{(2)}, p_k^{(2)})} \times \Lambda^{(2)}(p_i^{(2)}, \{p_l^{(1)}\}, \{p_k^{(2)}\}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N_2. \quad (42)$$

Here $\Lambda^{(2)}(q, \{p_l^{(1)}\}, \{p_k^{(2)}\})$ is determined by the second auxiliary eigenvalue problem.

Comparing with above procedure, we know that this second auxiliary eigenvalue problem is equivalent to finding the eigenvalue of the transfer matrix of the $SU(n-1)$ Hubbard model. Applying the foregoing procedure repeatedly until the dimension of the lower level space becomes equal to one, at this point, the final auxiliary r -matrix is

$$\hat{r}^{(2n-3)}(q, p) = 1, \quad (43)$$

and the nested Bethe ansatz closed. For $n = 2$ there is no nested necessary.

Now we have obtained the eigenvalue of the transfer matrix:

$$\Lambda(q, \{p_l^{(1)}\}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_1(p_i^{(1)}, q)}{\alpha_2(p_i^{(1)}, q)} + \prod_{j=1}^{N_0} \alpha_2(q, q_j) \prod_{i=1}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_1(q, p_i^{(1)})}{\alpha_2(q, p_i^{(1)})} \Lambda^{(1)}(q, \{p_l^{(1)}\}), \quad (44)$$

and

$$\Lambda^{(m)}(q, \{p_l^{(m)}\}, \{p_k^{(m+1)}\}) = \prod_{k=1}^{N_{m+1}} \frac{\alpha_1(p_k^{(m+1)}, q)}{\alpha_2(p_k^{(m+1)}, q)} + \prod_{l=1}^{N_m} \alpha_2(q, p_l^{(m)}) \prod_{k=1}^{N_{m+1}} \frac{\alpha_1(q, p_k^{(m+1)})}{\alpha_2(q, p_k^{(m+1)})} \times \Lambda^{(m+1)}(q, \{p_k^{(m+1)}\}), \quad (45)$$

where $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-3$. We have defined $N_{2n-2} = 0$, and $\Lambda^{(2n-3)}(q, \{p_l^{(2n-2)}\}) = 1$.

We also have the following $(2n-3)$ sets of Bethe ansatz equations:

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N_m} \frac{\alpha_1(p_i^{(m+1)}, p_j^{(m)})}{\alpha_2(p_i^{(m+1)}, p_j^{(m)})} = \prod_{l=1}^{N_{m+2}} \frac{\alpha_1(p_l^{(m+2)}, p_i^{(m+1)})}{\alpha_2(p_l^{(m+2)}, p_i^{(m+1)})} \times \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{N_{m+1}} \frac{\alpha_2(p_k^{(m+1)}, p_i^{(m+1)})}{\alpha_2(p_i^{(m+1)}, p_k^{(m+1)})}, \quad (46)$$

where $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-3$ and the periodic boundary condition (14) implies:

$$e^{ik_j L} = \prod_{l=1}^{N_1} \frac{\alpha_1(p_l^{(1)}, q_j)}{\alpha_2(p_l^{(1)}, q_j)}, \quad (47)$$

so we get $2(n-1)$ sets of Bethe ansatz equations.

After Substituting the expressions of α_1, α_2 into above expressions, the $2(n-1)$ sets of Bethe ansatz equations become to be

$$e^{ik_j L} = \prod_{l=1}^{N_1} \frac{(\tilde{p}_j^{(0)} - \tilde{p}_l^{(1)} - i\frac{\gamma}{2})}{(\tilde{p}_j^{(0)} - \tilde{p}_l^{(1)} + i\frac{\gamma}{2})}, \quad (48)$$

and

$$\prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{N_{m+1}} \frac{(\tilde{p}_i^{(m+1)} - \tilde{p}_k^{(m+1)} + i\gamma)}{(\tilde{p}_i^{(m+1)} - \tilde{p}_k^{(m+1)} - i\gamma)} = \prod_{j=1}^{N_m} \frac{(\tilde{p}_i^{(m+1)} - \tilde{p}_j^{(m)} + i\frac{\gamma}{2})}{(\tilde{p}_i^{(m+1)} - \tilde{p}_j^{(m)} - i\frac{\gamma}{2})} \times \prod_{l=1}^{N_{m+2}} \frac{(\tilde{p}_i^{(m+1)} - \tilde{p}_l^{(m+2)} + i\frac{\gamma}{2})}{(\tilde{p}_i^{(m+1)} - \tilde{p}_l^{(m+2)} - i\frac{\gamma}{2})}, \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-3). \quad (49)$$

Here $\tilde{p}_j^{(0)} = \sin k_j$ and we have used the shifted parameters $\tilde{p}_l^{(k)} = p_l^{(k)} + ik\frac{\gamma}{2}$ to bring our equations to more symmetric forms.

In principle, we can consider the same system with twisted boundary condition, similar as done in $SU(2)$ Hubbard model. The Bethe ansatz equations and the energy will pick up an appropriate factor which related to a twisted angles. On the other hand, we can also study this method based on the fermionic base, which can be obtained by using the Jordan-Wigner transformation from bosonic one, but the boundary condition will be changed. Generally, the bosonic $SU(n)$ model with periodic boundary will correspond to a fermionic one with twisted boundary and viceversa, the fermionic system in the presence of periodic boundary correspond to a twisted bosonic version. This is a direct generalization of discussions give in Ref. [17]

IV. THE GROUND STATE

In the above section, we have obtained the final $2(n-1)$ sets of Bethe ansatz equations of the $SU(n)$ Hubbard model. In this section, we will use them to analyse the ground state of the model.

Under thermodynamic limits, after taking the logarithm, the Bethe ansatz equations (48)-(49) for the ground state change into:

$$2\pi\rho^{(0)}(k) = 1 - \cos k \int_{-B^{(1)}}^{B^{(1)}} \frac{4\gamma\rho^{(1)}(\Lambda')}{\gamma^2 + 4(\Lambda^{(0)} - \Lambda')^2} d\Lambda', \quad (50)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi\rho^{(m)}(\Lambda^{(m)}) + \int_{-B^{(m)}}^{B^{(m)}} \frac{2\gamma\rho^{(m)}(\Lambda')}{\gamma^2 + (\Lambda^{(m)} - \Lambda')^2} d\Lambda' = \\ \int_{-B^{(m-1)}}^{B^{(m-1)}} \frac{4\gamma\rho^{(m-1)}(\Lambda')}{\gamma^2 + 4(\Lambda^{(m)} - \Lambda')^2} d\Lambda' \\ + \int_{-B^{(m+1)}}^{B^{(m+1)}} \frac{4\gamma\rho^{(m+1)}(\Lambda')}{\gamma^2 + 4(\Lambda^{(m)} - \Lambda')^2} d\Lambda', \\ (m = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-3), \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where $\Lambda^{(0)} = \sin k$ and $B^{(m)}$ are determined by the conditions

$$\int_{-B^{(m)}}^{B^{(m)}} \rho^{(m)}(\Lambda) d\Lambda = \frac{N_m}{L}, \quad (52)$$

and $B^{(2n-2)} = 0$. The functions $\rho^{(m)}(\Lambda)$ are the distribution functions of real parameters k_j and $\tilde{p}_l^{(i)}$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-3$) respectively.

Eqs. (50)-(51) have a unique solution which is positive for all allowed $B^{(m)}$. $\frac{N_m}{L}$, ($m = 0, 1, \dots, 2n-3$) are monotonically function of $B^{(m)}$ respectively. Thus the ground state is characterized by $B^{(0)} = \pi$, $B^{(m)} = \infty$, ($m = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-3$).

After taking Fourier transforms of the above equations we can obtain the result of the distribution functions

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{(0)}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{\cos k}{2\pi} \\ \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh((2n-3)\frac{\gamma}{2}|\omega|)e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}|\omega|}}{\sinh((n-1)\gamma|\omega|)} J_0(\omega) e^{-i\omega \sin k} d\omega, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{(m)}(\Lambda) = \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh((2n-2-m)\frac{\gamma}{2}|\omega|)}{\sinh((n-1)\gamma|\omega|)} J_0(\omega) e^{-i\omega \Lambda} d\omega, \\ (m = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-3). \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

From Eqs.(52)-(54) we have $N_0 = L$, this means that all lattice site is filled by one particles, and $N_m = \frac{2n-2-m}{2(n-1)} N_0$, these corresponding to the $2(n-1)$ conserved particle numbers.

The ground state energy then is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E = 2L \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(k) \cos k dk - \frac{\gamma}{2} L \\ = -2L \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sinh((2n-3)\frac{\gamma}{2}|\omega|)e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}|\omega|}}{\omega \sinh((n-1)\gamma|\omega|)} J_0(\omega) J_1(\omega) d\omega \\ - \frac{\gamma}{2} L. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

V. CONCLUSIONS

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate the eigenvalues and the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian of the $SU(n)$ Hubbard model. We have succeeded in finding the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (1) and obtained $2(n-1)$ sets of Bethe ansatz equations. Based on the Bethe ansatz equations, we have found the explicit expression of the energy and the distribution functions of the rapidities corresponding to the ground state for positive U .

From the result of present paper, we know that the result in Ref. [27] is not complete. The solution of the $SU(3)$ Hubbard model obtained in Ref. [27] was not the ground state solution. Next, from present paper we also know that the number of Bethe ansatz equations should be equal to the number of the conserved particle numbers. But in Ref. [27] one set of Bethe ansatz equations is missing.

For $SU(n)$ Hubbard model, another important question is to study the excitation spectrum and the low temperature thermodynamics of the model for both positive

and negative U . The Bethe ansatz equations given in present paper will play an key role. As we know, the negative U has a distinguished properties from positive U case. The solution structure of Bethe ansatz equations corresponding to the ground state and excited spectrum for attractive case ($U < 0$) are different from those for repulsive case. It is worthy to be studied in future.

In present paper, we have given energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian (1). As an integrable model, it is also important to find the eigenvalue of infinit number of conserved laws. The useful approach is algebraic Bethe Ansatz method.

In Ref. [24], the L -operator and R -matrix were given, therefore, one can define the transfer matrix as done in usual Hubbard model ($SU(2)$ case). But how to find the eigenvalue of the transfer matrix is unknown. It may be solved by using the method proposed in Ref. [18]. We will consider this problem late.

[1] E. Lieb and F. Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20** (1967) 1445.
[2] A. A. Ovchinnikov, Sov. Phys. JETP **30** (1970) 1660.
[3] G. V. Uimin and S. V. Fomichev, Sov. Phys. JETP **36** (1973) 1001.
[4] C. F. Coll, Phys. Rev. B **39** (1974) 2150;
[5] F. Woynarovich, J. Phys. C **15** (1982) 85, 97, C **16** (1983) 5293.
[6] A. Klümper, A. Schadschneider, J. Zittartz, Z. Phys. B **78** (1990) 99.
[7] M. Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **42** (1969) 4089; **43** (1970) 860, 1629.
[8] H. Shiba, Phys. Rev. B **6** (1972) 930.
[9] F. Woynarovich and K. Penc, Z. Phys. B **85** (1991) 261.
[10] M. Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **47** (1972) 69, **52** (1974) 103.
[11] T. Kowa, Prog. Theor. Phys. (1990) 655.
[12] N. Kawakami, T. Usuki, A. Okiji, Phys. Lett. A **137** (1989) 287.
[13] H. Frahm, V. E. Korepin, Phys. Rev B **42** (1990) 10573, B **43** (1991) 5653.
[14] J. Carmelo, P. Horsch, A. A. Ovchinnikov, Phys. Rev. B **45** (1992) 7899.
[15] B. S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** (1986) 1529; Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** (1986) 2453; J. Stat. Phys. **50** (1988) 57.
[16] E. Olmedilla and M. Wadati, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1987) 1595.
[17] R. H. Yue and T. Deguchi, J. Phys. A: Math gen. **30** (1997) 849-865.
[18] M. J. Martins, P. B. Ramos, Nucl. Phys. B **522** [FS] (1998) 413-470.
[19] H. Asakawa and M. Suzuki, J. Phys. A **29** (1995) 225.
[20] T. Deguchi and R. H. Yue, *Exact Solution of 1-D Hubbard Model with Open Boundary Conditions and the Conformal Dimensions under Boundary Magnetic Fields* (1996) OCHAPP-84, cond-mat/9704138.

[21] M. Shiroishi and M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65** (1996) 1983; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66** (1997) 1.
[22] Z. Maassarani and P. Mathieu, Nucl. Phys. B **517** (1998) 395-408.
[23] Z. Maassarani, Phys. Lett. A **239** (1998) 187-190.
[24] Z. Maassarani, Mod. Phys. Lett. B **12** (1998) 51-56.
[25] M. J. Martins, Phys. Lett. A **247** (1998) 218.
[26] R. Yue and R. Sasaki, P. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67** (1998) 2967
[27] B. Y. Hou, D. T. Peng and R. H. Yue, Nucl. Phys. B **575** (2000) 561
[28] C. N. Yang and S. Zhang, Mod. Phys. Lett. B **4** (1990) 759.
[29] F. H. L. Eßler, V. E. Korepin and K. Schoutens, Nucl. Phys. B **384** (1992) 431
[30] C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, (1967) 1372